

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/760,614	Applicant(s) LIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas Duong	Art Unit 2145	

All Participants:

- (1) Thomas Duong.
(2) Leonard Tachner, Reg. No. 26,344.

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____
(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 February 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Tachner, the attorney of record, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Janise Foreman returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.